

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Dox 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/800,793	03/07/2001	John Hathaway	940-3079-U	5476	
7590 12/16/2003			EXAMINER		
Robert H. Earp, III			HYLTON, ROBIN A.		
Mcdonald, Hop	kins, Burke & Haber Co.,	L.P. A.			
2100 Bank One Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
600 Superior Avenue, E.			3727	16	
Cleveland, OH 44114-2653			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003	, <i>70</i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
,	09/800,793	HATHAWAY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Robin A. Hylton	3727
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	p ars on the cover sheet with th	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIQ SET TO EVOIDE 2 MONTH	(S) EDOM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replaced in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 (</u>	October 2003.	
	s action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 3-14 is/are pending in the application	٦.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-14</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ol	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the fi 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language pr  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 rst sentence of the specification of rovisional application has been re	(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived.
reference was included in the first sentence of t	he specification or in an Applicati	on Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3727

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 8, 2003 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 9,10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Williams.

Williams teaches a glass closure as claimed. Glass closures are known to have flash lines formed during manufacture. The closure of Williams also has at least one annular sealing bead for engaging a gasket.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams.
 Williams teaches the claimed closure except for a pair of sealing beads.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a pair of annular beads instead of only one, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

5. Claims 3-8, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the disclosed conventional closure in view of Williams.

Art Unit: 3727

Applicant discloses it is known in the art to provide a closure having a cap portion, an annular sealing surface extending below the cap portion and having a part line flash thereon, the sealing surface engaging a gasket between the cap and a container opening, and an annular threaded section below the sealing surface. Applicant discloses the conventional closure does not teach a pair of annular sealing bands on the sealing surface through at least a portion of the part line flash.

Williams teaches it is known to provide a known closure having inherent part line flash with an annular sealing band through at least a portion of the part line flash.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of an annular sealing band through at least a portion of the part line flash as taught by Williams to the conventional known closure disclosed by applicant. Doing so would correct for defects of the closure and associated container opening to provide a more reliable seal. To provide a pair of annular beads instead of only one would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

6. Claims 3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the disclosed conventional closure in view of Williams.

Applicant discloses it is known in the art to provide a closure having a cap portion, an annular sealing surface extending below the cap portion and having a part line flash thereon, the sealing surface engaging a gasket between the cap and a container opening, and an annular threaded section below the sealing surface. Applicant discloses the conventional closure does not teach a pair of annular sealing bands on the sealing surface through at least a portion of the part line flash.

Art Unit: 3727

Williams teaches it is known to provide a known closure with an annular sealing band.

Williams also discloses the closure can be manufactured from various materials (page 2, lines 15-16). By applicant's disclosure, a molded plastic closure has a part line flash.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of an annular sealing band through at least a portion of the part line flash as taught by Williams to the conventional known closure disclosed by applicant. Doing so would correct for defects of the closure and associated container opening to provide a more reliable seal. To provide a pair of annular beads instead of only one would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed October 8, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts the closure of Williams does not have a part line flash simply because it is not manufactured in a manner applicant regards as leading to a part line flash. This alone does not prove that glass closures do not have a part line flash, only that the prior art in question does not specifically state such is the case. Moreover, if a part line flash is undesirable and a secondary grinding operation is required as applicant states in the remarks, it is unclear why the instant invention is not also without a part line flash ground to smooth the sealing surface.

Additionally, in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a molded plastic closure) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Art Unit: 3727

#### Conclusion

- 8. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 9. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

Patent	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No is being facsimiled to The U.S and Trademark Office via fax number (703) 872-7306 on the date shown below:
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate
	Signature
	Date

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1208. The examiner works a flexible schedule, but can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young, can be reached on (703) 308-2572.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Bembry at (703) 306-4005.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

RAH December 13, 2003

Primary Examiner
GAU 3727